1	
2	
3	
4	TRANSCRIPT OF A MEETING
5	OF THE
6	STATE OF NEVADA
7	PRIVATE INVESTIGATORS LICENSING BOARD
8	
9	
10	Thursday, December 5, 2013
11	9:00 a.m.
12	
13	Videoconference Location:
14	Office of the Attorney General 100 North Carson Street
15	Mock Court Room Carson City, Nevada
16	
17	
18	Location: Grant Sawyer State Office Building
19	555 East Washington Avenue Attorney General Conference Room, Suite 4500
20	Las Vegas, Nevada
21	
22	
23	REPORTED BY: SHANNON L. TAYLOR, CCR, CSR, RMR
2 4	Certified Court, Shorthand and Registered Merit Reporter Nevada CCR #322, California CSR #8753, Idaho CSR #485
25	1381 Valley View Drive, Carson City, Nevada 89701 (775) 887-0472

1	
1	APPEARANCES
2	
3	Board Members Present:
4	David Spencer, Chairman (Las Vegas) Jim Nadeau (Carson City)
5	Mark Zane (Las Vegas) Robert Uithoven (Las Vegas)
6	James Colbert (Las Vegas)
7	
8	Also: Kevin Ingram (Las Vegas) Executive Director
9	Colleen L. Platt (Carson City)
10	Deputy Attorney General Prosecutor
11	Keith D. Marcher (Carson City) Chief Deputy Attorney General
12	Board Counsel
13	Matthew Schmelzer (Carson City) Investigator II
1415	Lori Irizarry (Las Vegas) Compliance/Audit Investigator II
16	Mary Klemme (Carson City)
17	Investigative Assistant III
18	Other Participants in Carson City:
	Thomas Reuscher
19	Inomas Reuschel
20	Other Dartiginants in Inc. Wasser
21	Other Participants in Las Vegas:
22	John Theel Maurice Carr
23	Aaron Leach
24	
25	

1		I N D E X	
2	ITEM		PAGE
3			
4	1.	Roll Call of Board members	4
5	2.	Public Comment	5
6	3.	All applicants and witnesses to be sworn in	5
7	4.	Agenda items carried over from December 4, 2013 meeting, if any	5
8 9	5.	John Theel of Special Operations Associates, Inc.	5
10	6.	Maurice Carr	8
11	7.	Aaron Leach	11
12	8.	Thomas Reuscher	21
13	9.	Michael Yepko	50
14	10.	Board Comment and future agenda items	72
15	11.	Public Comment	72
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

1	CARSON CITY, NEVADA, THURSDAY, DECEMBER 5, 2013,
2	9:05 A.M.
3	-000-
4	BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: This is the date and
5	time set for the second working day of the fourth
6	quarterly meeting of the State of Nevada Private
7	Investigators Licensing Board.
8	We'll have roll call of the Board members.
9	MR. INGRAM: Okay. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
10	Board Member Colbert?
11	BOARD MEMBER COLBERT: Present.
12	MR. INGRAM: Board Member Nadeau?
13	BOARD MEMBER NADEAU: Here.
14	MR. INGRAM: Board Member Uithoven?
15	BOARD MEMBER UITHOVEN: Here.
16	MR. INGRAM: Board Member Zane?
17	BOARD MEMBER ZANE: Here.
18	MR. INGRAM: And Chairman Spencer?
19	BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Here.
20	MR. INGRAM: I would like to bring something to
21	everyone's attention. There is an error on item number
22	five on the agenda. He, Mr. John Theel, is here
23	requesting that the Board allow him to hire five active
24	out-of-state peace officers to work as unarmed guards
25	and supervisors at the consumer electronic show. The

1	dates are correct.
2	BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: All right. At this
3	time, we will leave we will have time here for public
4	comment, if anyone in the audience has public comment,
5	either here or in Carson City.
6	BOARD MEMBER NADEAU: Nothing in the north.
7	BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Seeing none, then,
8	we'll proceed with the agenda items. Let's see.
9	At this time, anyone who will give testimony in
10	this meeting today, either here or in Las Vegas or
11	here or in Carson City, please rise and be sworn.
12	MS. PLATT: Do you swear that the testimony
13	you're about to give is the truth, the whole truth, and
14	nothing but the truth, so help you God?
15	(Potential witnesses present were sworn.)
16	BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: All right. Let me put
17	my glasses on; I can see what I've been doing.
18	Are there agenda items carried over? No.
19	There are no carryover agenda items.
20	So we'll proceed to Request for Exemption; as
21	pointed out by the Executive Director, John Theel of
22	Special Operations Associates, Inc.
23	Good morning, John.
24	MR. THEEL: Good morning.
25	BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Okay. Tell us what

1 you want.

2.1

2.2

2.5

MR. THEEL: Good morning, everyone.

I'm looking for five out-of-state police officers. They're active policemen. As we've told the Board for 10 or 12 years now, these folks work for us in other states, you know, Florida, Texas, Chicago.

They're part of my staff in those states.

We have a large convention coming up, which is the Consumer Electronics Show. And I'd like to bring those people in to supplement our staff. We'll have between five and six hundred guards working during that event. And these folks will be used to supplement, to supervise and help supplement our staff. I don't recall the dates, like the 5th of January to the 13th. So here for about 10 days, eight to 10 days, and then they're on the plane and gone.

They've all been previously registered with the Board. They have their cards. Everything is current with them. And as we have in the past, we will provide the list of those five people to the Board and ensure that they're all properly registered.

BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: I'd like to point out that John was the first person to come before the Board to ask to supplement his staff for all the good reasons that he had. And we started out with -- how many people

1 did you want? 2 MR. THEEL: Back in them days, like 80. BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Yeah. 3 That was for the jewelry show and stuff. And he has worked well with 4 the Board in reducing that number. We're now down to 5 five. 6 Any questions from the Board? 7 BOARD MEMBER ZANE: I have one. Is this the 8 first request that's been made for the electronics show, 9 10 since you've been here for the jewelry shows? MR. THEEL: No, we're probably four or five 11 12 years into it. 1.3 BOARD MEMBER ZANE: Okay. MR. THEEL: The Consumer Electronics Show. 1 4 BOARD MEMBER ZANE: It didn't grab me before. 15 I just didn't remember. 16 MR. THEEL: Yes. 17 BOARD MEMBER ZANE: Thank you. 18 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Any further questions? 19 20 Jim, do you have anything? 2.1 BOARD MEMBER NADEAU: No questions. BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: All right. 2.2 entertain a motion. 23 BOARD MEMBER ZANE: Mr. Chairman, I'd move that 24 we approve agenda item number five as provided for and 2.5

```
corrected by the Executive Director.
 1
 2
             BOARD MEMBER NADEAU: I'll second that.
 3
             BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: We have a motion and a
             All in favor, signify by saying "aye."
   second.
 4
             (Board members said "aye.")
 5
             BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Opposed?
 6
 7
             Hearing none, thanks, John.
             MR. THEEL: Thank you very much. Merry
 8
   Christmas to all of you.
 9
10
             BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Same to you.
             Okay. Next, we have a registration appeals
11
   hearing, Maurice Carr.
12
1.3
             Come on forward, Mr. Carr.
             How are you today?
1 4
             MR. CARR: Fine. And you?
15
             BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Great.
16
             Who had this case?
17
             MS. IRIZARRY: Investigator Yarborough. But in
18
   his absence, I'm taking it.
19
20
             BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Okay.
2.1
            MS. IRIZARRY: Investigator Irizarry.
             BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: What was that?
2.2
            MS. IRIZARRY: I thought you wanted me to spell
23
24
   it.
2.5
             BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Okav. Do you want to
```

tell us what this one was about? 1 MS. IRIZARRY: Mr. Carr, when he filled out his 2 application, he didn't disclose any of his prior 3 arrests. The only thing he disclosed was a 2012 4 domestic, and he listed the disposition as dropped. 5 However, he has, on his -- when his 6 fingerprints came back, he has six arrests, including 7 two gross misdemeanors, one for grand larceny. I'm 8 sorry. Yeah, one for grand larceny and the other one 9 for being in an accident involving death or personal 10 injury. 11 And the domestic violence that he listed, he 12 13 actually pled quilty to. So it's not actually dropped. 14 It was a misdemeanor charge. So Investigator Yarborough went ahead and 15 issued him a denial. 16 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: All right. Questions 17 from the Board? 18 BOARD MEMBER ZANE: Why didn't you list all of 19 20 those arrests? 21 MR. CARR: Because, truthfully, I cannot remember all them arrests. Once I move forward, I just 2.2 forget about everything that happened in the past. 23 BOARD MEMBER ZANE: That's it? 24 MR. CARR: Yes. I really do not remember 2.5

everything that happened in the past. 1 BOARD MEMBER ZANE: Do you have a medical or a 2 3 mental problem? MR. CARR: No, I just forget about it. 4 BOARD MEMBER ZANE: You just forget about it? 5 MR. CARR: Yes. 6 BOARD MEMBER ZANE: I see. That's your way of 7 tolerating it? 8 MR. CARR: Yes, and moving forward. 9 BOARD MEMBER ZANE: I see. I have no other 10 questions. 11 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Any other Board 12 1.3 members? No. I'll entertain a motion. 1 4 BOARD MEMBER ZANE: Mr. Chairman, I'd move that 15 we uphold the denial. 16 BOARD MEMBER UITHOVEN: Second. 17 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: All in favor, signify 18 by saying "aye." 19 20 (Board members said "aye.") 21 Opposed? I'm sorry, Mr. Carr. At this time, we're going 2.2 to uphold the denial. 23 MR. CARR: All right. Thank you. Have a Merry 24 Christmas. 2.5

BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: 1 You, too. Aaron Leach? 2 Hi, Mr. Leach. 3 MR. LEACH: Good morning. 4 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: I believe, you need to 5 be sworn in. You just got here, right? 6 7 MR. LEACH: Yes, sir. BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: All right. The lady 8 on the screen there, on the right. Raise your right 9 10 hand. MS. PLATT: Do you swear that the testimony 11 you're about to give is the truth, the whole truth, and 12 1.3 nothing but the truth, so help you God? MR. LEACH: I do. 1 4 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Great. Thank you. 15 Have a chair. 16 And whose case is this? 17 MR. IRIZARRY: So --18 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Oh, okay. 19 20 MS. IRIZARRY: Investigator Yarborough's, but I 21 am filling in. 2.2 Mr. Leach filled out his application, and he listed one arrest without a date. He listed a simple 23 24 assault, dismissed. When his fingerprints came back, it came back 2.5

- he had a 1993 conviction in North Carolina for assault 1 on a female. In 1996, he pled guilty, Hyde County 2 District Court, to maintaining the dwelling of --3 dwelling of controlled substance abuse. In 2011, he had 4 possession of drug paraphernalia. 5 So because those three were not listed, he was 6 issued a denial. 7 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: All right. Thank you. 8 Board questions? 9 BOARD MEMBER ZANE: Sir, what was the reason 10 that you didn't list those? 11 MR. LEACH: 1993, it just said ten years back. 12 1.3 And I didn't list it. I listed what -- I put what I knew that I had on the court dockets. For 1993, I just 1 4 didn't know. 15
- BOARD MEMBER ZANE: What about 2011?
- MR. LEACH: I thought I wrote 2011 down on there. That's what's probably the date she's talking about I didn't put.
- 20 BOARD MEMBER ZANE: I see.
- MR. LEACH: Because I was probably, you now, in there doing the application, and I just forgot it. So I went back and called the clerk of court and got a copy of all my records. And, I think, I sent a copy.
- MS. IRIZARRY: Yes. When he issued his appeal

letter, he did provide all of his court documents. 1 And the only one that was mainly in question was the 2011, 2 because it was within the past 10 years, so the 3 possession charge. The drug paraphernalia, that should have definitely been listed, but the other two were also 5 included because they came back on his fingerprints. 6 MR. LEACH: Yeah. 7 BOARD MEMBER ZANE: You were under the 8 impression you didn't have to list any of those, or? 9 MR. LEACH: I was -- when I first was told 10 about it, and it said felonies, list all felonies, and I 11 knew I didn't have no felonies, but I listed what I can 12 1.3 remember as far as charges I had recently. And the paraphernalia, they pulled my car over 14 and looked in my seat and found it up on the seat 15 somewhere. And they threw that out. 16 As far as I know, that was all I had, was that 17 and a misdemeanor assault. Checked the keys from my 18 girlfriend, and she called the police. 19 20 BOARD MEMBER ZANE: I see. 21 MR. LEACH: And they threw that out. So as far as me having a -- you know, I didn't know about the '93 2.2 ones. And I just didn't put the date on the 2011. 23 BOARD MEMBER ZANE: Now, what's your 24 educational background? 2.5

MR. LEACH: I completed temporary, got a GED. 1 Currently is with the school, Charlotte University, 2 taking up philosophy and social work. 3 BOARD MEMBER ZANE: Here in Las Vegas? 4 MR. LEACH: I was here in Raleigh, but I 5 transferred to Las Vegas. And I got all my transcripts 6 7 with me. So once I get a part-time job to help pay for the rest of the tuition that I owe, so I can finish the 8 last, next two years I got. 9 BOARD MEMBER ZANE: What's your family life? 10 MR. LEACH: Oh, I got seven kids, 17 grandkids. 11 Divorced. I do have a fiance' right now. And she's 12 1.3 been a blessing in my life. I'm a member of the New Jerusalem Church and a choir member. And I've been 1 4 there about two years now. 15 BOARD MEMBER ZANE: And what's your employment 16 history? 17 MR. LEACH: I've been a roofer for 15 years. 18 And home improvement work. And my eyes is kind of 19 20 getting a little weird, and I have to wear prescription 2.1 glasses. So I figured I'd try to find a little lighter 2.2 line of work. I figure security work would be a nice job for me. 23 24 BOARD MEMBER ZANE: Okay. MR. LEACH: And I apologize for, you know, 2.5

- 1 being in here after doing my application. I should have
- 2 researched it, you know. But it only asked for 10 years
- 3 back. And so that's what I listed.
- 4 BOARD MEMBER ZANE: This is what kind of
- 5 confuses me, is the application doesn't ask for 10
- 6 | years. It asks for everything.
- 7 MR. LEACH: Oh, it does?
- BOARD MEMBER ZANE: I mean there's a lot of
- 9 people that are -- that take that to mean they don't
- 10 | have to disclose anything over 10 years, because most
- 11 | courts accept that as, you know, old stuff. But the
- 12 application asks that have you ever been arrested or
- 13 | convicted.
- MR. LEACH: I didn't know that. I thought it
- 15 was 10 years. Okay.
- 16 BOARD MEMBER ZANE: Do you have any kids living
- 17 | at home?
- 18 MR. LEACH: I got a 16-year-old, Tyrell. He's
- 19 | all right. He's not a trouble-maker, sometimes have bad
- 20 days. But all the rest of my children, thank God, are
- 21 grown. I only got him left.
- BOARD MEMBER ZANE: Now, without being able to
- 23 use anything against you in a criminal courtroom, did
- 24 | the drug paraphernalia belong to you?
- MR. LEACH: No, it didn't belong to me. I

- 1 bought the car. I had the car for two months when they
- 2 pulled me over. I had let a friend off at a hotel. And
- 3 later on, the police pulled me over and told me, said
- 4 that was a drug area. And they just thought I was one
- 5 of the, you know, drug dealers.
- BOARD MEMBER ZANE: Okay.
- 7 MR. LEACH: So I got caught up in that little
- 8 sting.
- 9 BOARD MEMBER ZANE: So, as I understand it,
- 10 | there was the one arrest that was listed on the
- 11 application, but no date was given.
- 12 MS. IRIZARRY: Yes, he listed a simple --
- 13 assault, simple, dismissed, in North Carolina.
- BOARD MEMBER ZANE: So the problem that I'm
- 15 | having individually here is the one in 2011 that didn't
- 16 | get on there.
- 17 MR. LEACH: Okay.
- 18 BOARD MEMBER ZANE: You know, because that's
- 19 | the most recent, most certainly we were going to find
- 20 it, you know.
- 21 MR. LEACH: Yeah. I mean I put it down. I
- 22 | didn't put the date right.
- MS. IRIZARRY: The 2011 was the possession of
- 24 | paraphernalia charge. That was not listed on the
- 25 application.

1 MR. LEACH: Okay. That's the one I forgot, I didn't put on it. Okay. 2 3 BOARD MEMBER ZANE: That one, in my mind, is the one that's close in time. Because I can see not 4 remembering the dates or anything for something that 5 happened in 1993. I couldn't see forgetting the event, 6 but I could see --MR. LEACH: Well, I thought that was. I 8 forgot. The one I did put down was the -- which one did 9 I put down, the assault or the --10 MS. IRIZARRY: The assault with a deadly 11 12 weapon. 13 MR. LEACH: Okay. I'm so sorry. BOARD MEMBER ZANE: That's all I have, 14 Mr. Chairman. 15 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Any further Board 16 questions? 17 If not, I'll entertain a motion. 18 BOARD MEMBER ZANE: Mr. Chairman, I'd move to 19 20 uphold the denial. And if I can get a second, I'll tell 2.1 you why. BOARD MEMBER UITHOVEN: Second. 2.2 BOARD MEMBER ZANE: The reason -- I'm making a 23 motion to uphold the denial of your work card 24 application. And the reason I'm doing that is I believe 2.5

- that you were being dishonest in not disclosing the 2011 event, because it was close enough in time. There's no
- 3 question about whether or not it should be disclosed or
- 4 not.
- 5 We are here to -- I don't like to second-guess,
- 6 but we're -- our first charge is to protect the public.
- 7 MR. LEACH: I understand.
- 8 BOARD MEMBER ZANE: I don't know you. All I
 9 know about is what you put down on paper and what you've
 10 come here and talked to us about right now.
- MR. LEACH: Okay.
- BOARD MEMBER ZANE: If the Board follows the
- 13 motion, and you're denied, you have the opportunity to
- 14 come back a year later and reapply and list everything.
- 15 | Everything that you have here wouldn't keep you from
- 16 working in this industry.
- 17 MR. LEACH: Right.
- 18 | BOARD MEMBER ZANE: If you would have listed it
- 19 all.
- 20 MR. LEACH: Well, that's why I went and got a
- 21 copy. I should have did it at first, before I did it.
- 22 But I was -- you know, the man, the head of security,
- 23 you know, he was, you know, coming to everybody. We was
- 24 at a meeting. And he wanted, needed some people real
- 25 quick. So. And he had everybody come out here. It was

like a job fair. So at the time of the job fair, I just 1 went ahead and did it, you know, did it the same day. 2 BOARD MEMBER ZANE: Yeah. Unfortunately, in a 3 regulated, in a regulated environment, you got to be a 4 little bit --5 MR. LEACH: I got it. 6 7 BOARD MEMBER ZANE: And it is not known why. But we don't have any control over proprietary security 8 jobs. You can go to a casino and get a job, and you 9 don't need to come before the Board. But to be held to 10 be for hire for the public --11 MR. LEACH: Yes. 12 13 BOARD MEMBER ZANE: -- the public has a right 14 to rely upon your honesty. MR. LEACH: I understand. An I'm saying I 15 didn't deceive it. I just apologize. I just plumb 16 forgot to put that charge down. 17 BOARD MEMBER ZANE: That's the reason that I 18 brought the motion. 19 20 MR. LEACH: And I'm sorry. And the second 21 reason why I didn't, because I knew it wasn't a felony. Because I don't -- you know, I'm not a criminal. And 2.2 I'm pretty well mostly an honest man. I've never been 23 in trouble, you know, for, you know, a crime or heavy 24 crime. So they're mostly driving charges. And, you 2.5

1 know, you saw the charge. But I never knew. I knew it 2 wasn't a felony. (Cell phone ringing.) 3 BOARD MEMBER ZANE: I apologize. 4 MR. LEACH: But, once again, I apologize to the 5 And I should have researched more thoroughly and 6 got all my copies before I did the application. BOARD MEMBER ZANE: I just wanted you to know 8 why I did it. 9 10 MR. LEACH: Okay. BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: And, you know, you 11 should remember what he said about a propriety job; and 12 13 that's a job where you go in and you work for someone, 1 4 they pay you, they take out your taxes and whatever. MR. LEACH: Yes, sir. 15 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Rather than you 16 working for a company that provides a service to a 17 casino, for instance. So you can still get those jobs. 18 MR. LEACH: Okay. 19 20 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: The proprietary type 21 of a job. And you don't have to come before this Board for those. 2.2 MR. LEACH: Okay. But in the future, I might 23 24 want to have to ask or -- you know what I'm saying? BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Well, keep that in 2.5

mind, and a year from now, we would, we would listen to 1 you again. 2 MR. LEACH: Okay. 3 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: We have a motion and a 4 All in favor, signify by saying "aye." 5 second. (Board members said "aye.") 6 7 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Opposed? Hearing none, sir, we will have to deny you at 8 this time. 9 MR. LEACH: Okay. I'll see you next year. 10 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: All right. 11 MR. LEACH: Thank you. 12 13 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Thank you. All right. Number eight, Thomas Reuscher -- is 14 it "ROE-sher"? 15 MR. REUSCHER: "ROY-sher." 16 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: -- Reuscher, of Grass 17 Valley, California, was issued an unlicensed activity 18 citation. Mr. Reuscher is requesting an appeal hearing 19 20 on the issuance of that citation. 21 And, I believe, he is in Carson City. Is that 2.2 correct? MR. PLATT: That's correct. 23 MR. REUSCHER: Correct. 24 2.5 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Have you been sworn

in, sir? 1 2 MR. LEACH: Yes, I have. Good morning. 3 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Good morning. All right. Madam attorney general, please 4 5 proceed. MS. PLATT: So I'm going to call Mr. Schmelzer 6 7 to the stand, to put on my side of the case. And then Mr. Reuscher will be able to put on his side. And then 8 you guys will have the opportunity to ask questions at 9 10 that time. BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: All right. Fine. 11 MS. PLATT: Okay. 12 13 1 4 MATTHEW SCHMELZER, having been duly sworn, 15 was examined and testified as follows: 16 17 DIRECT EXAMINATION 18 BY MS. PLATT: 19 20 Q. Could you tell us your name, and spell it for 2.1 us. My name is Matthew Schmelzer. Last name is 2.2 S-C-H-M-E-L-Z-E-R. 23 Who is your employer? 24 Q. Private Investigators Licensing Board, State of 2.5

Nevada. 1 Q. And what's your job title? 2 Investigator 2. 3 Α. And how long have you worked there? 4 0. Since January of 2013. 5 Α. Okay. Are you aware of Mr. Reuscher? Q. 6 7 Α. I am. And did you have the opportunity to write a 8 Q. citation, number C-092-13? 9 10 Α. I did. Can you give us the circumstances surrounding 11 that citation? 12 I received information in our office at 13 704 West Nye Lane that Mr. Reuscher ("ROO-sher") --1 4 MR. REUSCHER: Reuscher ("ROY-sher"). 15 THE WITNESS: -- Reuscher was at a location in 16 Carson City, the address that's contained in the 17 citation, that he was currently there conducting a fire 18 arson investigation. I then notified my supervisor, 19 20 Kevin Ingram, told him that I was going to go out, check to see what the circumstances were and to validate his 2.1 2.2 license to conduct private investigations, slash, fire investigations in the state of Nevada. 23 I proceeded to the location. Upon arrival to 24

the location, I took note of an individual standing by a

2.5

white pickup, another person standing in the yard, and a 1 Carson City Fire Marshal vehicle located at the 2 residence. I got out, spoke with the individual by the 3 vehicle, ascertained that he was an assistant to -- to 4 Ignition Dynamics. I didn't get his name, but he said 5 that he was, basically, employed and that Mr. --6 MR. REUSCHER: Reuscher. 7 THE WITNESS: -- Reuscher was inside the 8 residence currently working. I walked over to the other 9 individual in the yard, who identified himself as an 10 insurance adjustor for the property owner. And I could 11 tell by his shirt and his attire that he probably worked 12 1.3 for an insurance company. I then went around to the side entrance, which 14 appeared to be the only safe entrance to go in, due to 15 the fire damage. I opened the door, announced my 16 presence and identified myself to the fire marshal, who 17 was at the time exiting, speaking with Mr. Reuscher 18 concerning obtaining a fire report for, you know, 19 20 portions of the investigation. I noted that 2.1 Mr. Reuscher had a camera around his neck, tape measure 2.2 and a clipboard, tools that an investigator would use to take notes and/or conduct an investigation. 23 I then made contact with Mr. Reuscher and 24

notified him who I was, asked him, you know, if I could

2.5

see his license through us. He produced a work card 1 for -- for Private Investigators Licensing Board, but 2 that work card was under Unified license or licensee, 3 which is another company that is out of California but 4 licensed in Nevada. He then stated to me, through the 5 course of conversation, that he was not under that 6 7 company anymore but that he had their work card, and he felt that that was the license enough to allow him to 8 work in Nevada. I stated to him that it was not, 9 described to him that it was not, that he needed to have 10 a license. 11 I then gave him the opportunity to talk to my 12 13 supervisor on my phone. We were out on the porch area by this time. I believe, Mr. Ingram then had a 1 4 conversation with Mr. Reuscher. I don't know the 15 particulars of what was discussed during that, because, 16 you know, he had my phone up to his ear. But, in short, 17 the whole thing ended up him handing me my phone back. 18 I then told him I would have to give him a citation and 19 20 that he would have a chance to appeal it here, the 2.1 citation over here. I then got some more information, proceeded back to the office, and issued the citation. 2.2 BY MS. PLATT: 23 And so the citation was for unlicensed 24 2.5 activity?

1 Α. Unlicensed activity, yes. Are you required to be licensed to conduct fire 2 Q. 3 investigations? Α. Yes. 4 Can you conduct fire investigations with a work 5 Q. card? 6 7 Α. No. MS. PLATT: I have nothing further at this time 8 for Mr. Schmelzer. 9 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: All right. Do you 10 have any questions, Mr. Reuscher? 11 MR. REUSCHER: I do. If I may, good morning, 12 13 ladies and gentlemen. First of all, I'd like to ask, have you received the packet that was presented to 14 Mr. Ingram, Kevin? 15 MR. INGRAM: Yes. He's asking if --16 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Yeah, we have. 17 MR. REUSCHER: Okay. Thank you. 18 19 20 CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. REUSCHER: 2.1 2.2 So the first question I'd like to ask you, Mr. Schmelzer, is that who provided you the information 23 that I was going to be at that location at that date and 24 time? 2.5

- 1 A. The reporting party wished to remain anonymous.
- Q. Okay. Was the work permit that I presented you
- 3 | an authentic work permit issued by your Board?
- A. Your work card? I called it in, and it was an issued work card.
- Q. Okay. Did that work permit indicate what license it was issued under?
- A. The work card did, yes. That's how I was able to find that was Unified.
- 10 Q. It said on the work card?
- 11 A. Not on the work card, but on our file back at 12 the office, yes.
- Q. Okay. And you subsequently signed and issued a citation letter dated June 4th, 2013, citation number C-092-13, which was -- in which I was cited for the violation of Section 648.165, 648.060 of the NRS; is
- 17 | that correct?
- 18 A. Yes.
- Q. Included in the violation notice was a violation for not having my California P.I. license on my card. Could you look at the exhibit, which I assume
- 22 | you have, Exhibit I.
- 23 That's a photocopy of my business card. Do you 24 see a California P.I. license number on there?
- 25 A. No, I do not.

- 1 Q. You don't see one?
- 2 A. No.
- Q. On the back side of the card on the bottom?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. So there is one on it; is that correct?
- A. That's a California P.I. license. You were
- 7 | cited for not having a Nevada license.
- Q. Part of the citation was not having a P.I.
- 9 license number on my business card?
- 10 A. A Nevada P.I. license number.
- 11 Q. Could you look at the exhibit -- was there a
- 12 question from the Board?
- BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: No.
- 14 BY MR. REUSCHER:
- Q. Could you look at Exhibit J, please. Could you
- 16 tell me the date of issuance on that letter?
- 17 A. This letter.
- 18 Q. I believe, it's right above your signature.
- 19 A. This is just a copy that shows that you got it.
- 20 MR. MARCHER: I think, he meant to refer you to
- 21 Exhibit H.
- THE WITNESS: You're referring to the date of
- 23 | the citation instead of being the date that I actually
- 24 made contact with you a few days later.
- 2.5 ///

1 BY MR. REUSCHER:

- Q. Well, there's a date of issuance that says
- 3 March 25th, 2013; is that correct?
- 4 A. Yes.
- Q. And the date the letter, the letter itself, is
- 6 June 4th. So is that an error?
- 7 A. That is an error, yes.
- Q. Did I not have a valid work permit?
- 9 A. You had a valid work card under Ignition -- or
- 10 Unified.
- 11 Q. So I was cited for having a valid work permit
- 12 | under a license --
- 13 A. A work card, which allows you to work under
- 14 | somebody else's license. It does not allow you to come
- 15 | into the state of Nevada and conduct investigations
- 16 under your own license, which you do not have.
- 17 O. I understand that.
- 18 A. Okay. So you're using the word "work permit,"
- 19 | which is not --
- 20 Q. Okay.
- 21 A. It's not a permit. It's a card.
- Q. I'll change, I'll change that.
- 23 A. Okay.
- Q. Were you in contact with my former employer,
- 25 Unified Investigations, regarding this matter, prior to

1 | this citation?

17

18

19

- A. No, I was not. You stated at the premises that you were no longer employed by them.
- Q. Yeah, that's -- that's an issue that I'd like
 to speak to you about, also. You had said that I told
 you that I understood that that work card was under
 Unified Investigations. I did not say that.
- Yes, you did, because I told you that my -- I 8 Α. had called your card in, found that it was under 9 Unified. And I said, "This, this card allows you to 10 work under Unified." I asked you, "Is Ignition Dynamics 11 part of Unified?" on your front -- on the front porch of 12 1.3 the residence, and you stated that it was not, that you had worked, had recently no longer worked for them and 14 that you had started your own company, which was 15 Ignition Dynamics. 16
 - MR. REUSCHER: Okay. I would like to take exception to that and just state on the record that my recollection is, and the fact is --
- 20 MR. PLATT: Okay. I'm going to object at this 21 point. That's --
- MR. MARCHER: Yeah, we'll --
- MS. PLATT: You're here for questioning him.
- MR. MARCHER: You'll get a chance.
- MS. PLATT: You'll get a chance to make that

- 1 statement when he's done.
- MR. MARCHER: For now, just questions for him.
- 3 MR. REUSCHER: Thank you.
- 4 BY MR. REUSCHER:
- Q. And you did research the Board records prior to issuing the citation; is that correct?
- 7 A. Yes.

23

24

2.5

- Q. Okay. Was the exhibits that I provided, E and F, part of the paperwork that you examined prior to issuing the citation?
- 11 A. This (indicating), yes. I see it on the
 12 computer screen, not in this format. So, yes. And
 13 (indicating), yes.
- Okay. Could you take a look at the paper 14 copies of E and F and tell me, are there any conclusions 15 you might draw from this document, either one of these 16 documents, if any? Specifically, could you determine 17 that the information on file in your office and 18 date-stamped December 3rd, 2012, clearly indicates that 19 20 as of August 24th, 2012, I was no longer employed by 21 Unified Investigations but was now the owner of Ignition 2.2 Dynamics? That's attachment E.
 - A. Yes. It's handwritten in here. But, then again, when I ran Ignition Dynamics, you did not come up as having a valid license with us. Therefore, this

- 1 being penciled in or inked in, I mean you could be the
- 2 owner of it and be in California, but you have not come
- 3 before the Board and applied and received a license
- 4 through the Board.
- 5 Q. I understand. But that document was in your
- 6 office at that time, correct?
- 7 A. Yes, it was. And that's exactly why I wrote
- 8 you the citation for not having the license.
- 9 Q. All right. So is it a reasonable conclusion,
- 10 | in your opinion, that the work permit that was issued
- 11 | was issued in error?
- 12 A. You were not issued a work permit. You were
- 13 | issued a work card.
- 14 Q. I'm sorry. My apologies. The work card, was
- 15 | it issued in error?
- 16 A. No.
- 17 Q. It was not issued in error?
- 18 A. No, because it was issued for this other,
- 19 Unified.
- Q. Which I no longer work for.
- 21 A. Which if you no longer work with them, it
- 22 becomes invalid.
- Q. The work card that I did present you had a
- 24 | number on it, but it didn't link it to any license; is
- 25 | that correct?

- A. Not to this, no. It linked it to Unified, when 2 I called on the phone.
- 3 Q. All right.
- A. Now, when I went back to the office, that's
- 5 | when I saw this. But then I still cited you for not
- 6 having the license, yes.
- 7 Q. I understand. Was the paperwork found in your
- 8 office, in my file, false in any way?
- 9 A. No.
- 10 Q. No?
- 11 A. (Shook head back and forth.) I do not believe
- 12 so.
- (There was a momentary discussion off the
- 14 record between the witness and Ms. Platt.)
- MS. PLATT: Off the record.
- 16 THE WITNESS: I asked if I could go over. I
- 17 | have my business card that he provided me, and I believe
- 18 | that I'm thinking it might be different.
- 19 MS. PLATT: Is that in response to his question
- 20 | that it would follow?
- 21 THE WITNESS: It's to the information on the
- 22 back of the -- of the work card, or the business card.
- 23 I believe, I have the exact one he handed me. I don't
- 24 believe it has that information on the back.
- MR. REUSCHER: I'd be happy for him to --

THE WITNESS: Like I said, I don't recall. 1 MS. PLATT: Okay. 2 3 THE WITNESS: So I just want to get it. (The witness retrieved the card.) I was 4 misspoken. It is. 5 BY MR. REUSCHER: 6 7 Q. I'm sorry. I didn't hear what you said. I was misspoken. It is on the back. 8 Α. It is on the back? 0. 9 It is on the back, California, yes. 10 Α. Would you look at the Exhibit B that I provided 11 in my documents. 12 13 And could you tell me if it's -- and this is a photocopy of my previous work card. Could you tell me 14 if it indicates under which license it was issued? 15 It's under Washoe County. Sheriff's office. 16 Α. Okay. Which license it was issued? 17 0. It does not say. It just says "special 18 investigator." And "Unified Investigations." 19 20 Q. So Unified Investigations? 2.1 Α. Yes. 2.2 Okay. So it does say it? 0. It says "Unified Investigations." 23 Α. Hold on to that. If you'd look at that again, 24 2.5 is there a permit number issued to that card?

- There's an "A" file number on there. 1 Α.
- Q. Is that the 201 number? 2
- Α. 201 --3
- 0. Okav. 4

8

- -- 8574**.** 5 Α.
- And could you go back to Exhibit G that I Q. 6 provided, which is the new work card. Is there a number 7 on that card? I believe, it starts with RO.
- A. R090 -- 049003. 9
- So the old work card number for Unified, which 10 was my old employer, had a different number than the new 11 work card number that was issued to me, correct? 12
- 1.3 Α. Correct.
- Okay. Is it common for the -- for renewal 14 Q. of -- I think, I know what you're going to say. I 15 think, it just dawned on me. The first one was issued 16 under Washoe County? 17
- (Nodded head affirmatively.) 18
- Okay. So that would be the reason it would Q. 19 20 have different numbers.
- 21 So the newer permit was issued, the new work permit, with a new work permit number, or work card 2.2 number -- my apologies -- without an identifier on that 23 work card number as to which license it was issued 24 under? 2.5

- A. To my knowledge, and at the time, I believe, I did the correct check, it went back to Unified.
- Q. It did, but it was not on the card?
- 4 A. No.
- Q. Okay. So is it reasonable, in your opinion, that this could cause confusion on my part or your part?
- 7 A. Your mind is your mind, sir. I can't.
- MS. PLATT: I object. He can't answer for you.
- 9 BY MR. REUSCHER:
- Q. Okay. Did you take the opportunity to contact me prior to your arrival at the citation location?
- 12 A. No, I didn't.
- Q. To clarify the discrepancies that you were seeing?
- A. No, I did not. As I said before, I received information that there was an investigation, possibly unlicensed.
- Q. Now, I recall that you had told me at the scene that you had talked to people at Unified and that they told you that I had been terminated. Do you recall
- 21 | that?
- A. No, I do not.
- Q. You do not recall that?
- A. I believe, that was the course of the conversation, and I asked you if you had been terminated

- 1 or you had left on our own.
- Q. You -- that's not how I recall. Termination is
- 3 | not the word.
- 4 A. Okay.
- 5 Q. Correct term. Okay. Did you ever, or do you
- 6 now have the impression that I was attempting to be
- 7 | deceitful, in the use of the work card, in any of my
- 8 actions or statements or dealings with this Board or
- 9 with you?
- 10 A. At this time, I choose not to answer that
- 11 question, because I think it implies my biased opinion.
- 12 Q. Okay.
- 13 A. And/or an opinion. But.
- MR. REUSCHER: If I might read a closing
- 15 | statement; is that possible?
- MS. PLATT: Not yet.
- MR. MARCHER: Not yet.
- MR. REUSCHER: Okay.
- MR. MARCHER: I need to tell you the procedure.
- 20 MR. REUSCHER: That would be good. I wish I'd
- 21 known the procedure prior.
- MR. MARCHER: Yeah. You're -- are you finished
- 23 asking him any questions?
- 24 MR. REUSCHER: I think, I am at this time.
- MR. MARCHER: Okay. Now, what will happen next

is the Board members can ask questions of the witness, 1 if they choose to. And at that point, we'll see if the 2 prosecuting attorney has any other witnesses. I don't 3 think she's going to have any others. At that point, then we flip it to you, and it's your turn to put your 5 That would be your opportunity to call any 6 7 witnesses that you might have. Or if you don't have any witnesses, that's your opportunity to testify yourself. 8 MR. REUSCHER: Okay. 9 MR. MARCHER: Once you do that, she can ask you 10 questions. So can the Board members. 11 MR. REUSCHER: That would be fine. 12 MR. MARCHER: When we're done with that, then, 13 if there's anything in closing you want to say, then 14 we'll allow for that, and then we'll make a decision. 15 MR. REUSCHER: All right. 16 MR. MARCHER: Okay. So at this point --17 MS. PLATT: I get to follow up. 18 MR. MARCHER: Oh. Yeah. Well, she gets to 19 20 follow up, too. I forgot that little piece. 21 So go ahead, if you have anything on redirect. 2.2 REDIRECT EXAMINATION 23 24 BY MS. PLATT: I just want to ask you a question in regards to 25

registered, registered cardholder and licenses. Are 1 they required to know the laws surrounding Chapter 648 2 in NAC and NRS? 3 It is part of the application process, and it 4 is part of that, that they reviewed that. 5 MS. PLATT: Thank you. 6 7 MR. MARCHER: Okay. Based on her question and his answer, do you have anything else to ask him? MR. REUSCHER: No I don't. 9 MR. MARCHER: Okay. Board members, any 10 questions for the witness? 11 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Jim, do you have a 12 1.3 question? BOARD MEMBER NADEAU: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 1 4 Yes, Investigator, I had a question. On the 15 Attachment E, which is the P.I. Board, apparently, their 16 information sheet, there's handwritten information, 17 Ignition Dynamics. That wasn't, that handwritten wasn't 18 on the information that you saw it the computer; is that 19 20 right? 21 THE WITNESS: I saw this after, after I already issued the citation. 2.2 BOARD MEMBER NADEAU: Now, is this a file 23 within the -- within our office, within the PILB office? 24 THE WITNESS: It is. 2.5

```
BOARD MEMBER NADEAU: So is there a handwritten
 1
 2
   notation on there?
            THE WITNESS: Yes.
 3
            BOARD MEMBER NADEAU: That's -- that was the
 4
   only question, I had. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
 5
            BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: All right. Any other
 6
 7
   Board questions?
            BOARD MEMBER UITHOVEN: Not of the
 8
   investigator, no.
9
10
            BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Okay. You may
   proceed.
11
            MR. MARCHER: Do you have any other witnesses?
12
13
            MS. PLATT: I'm going to ask Mr. Ingram to
   come. I have a couple questions for him just based on
14
   Mr. Schmelzer's testimony.
15
            MR. MARCHER: Okay. He's been sworn?
16
            MR. INGRAM: Yes.
17
18
            MR. MARCHER: Okay.
19
20
                    KEVIN INGRAM,
21
                    having been duly sworn,
             was examined and testified as follows:
2.2
   ///
23
   ///
24
   ///
2.5
```

DIRECT EXAMINATION

2 BY MS. PLATT:

1

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

2.5

- Q. So, Mr. Ingram, Mr. Schmelzer indicated that you spoke with Mr. Reuscher. Could you give us a synopsis of that conversation?
- A. Yes, absolutely. When Investigator Schmelzer contacted me by his cell phone, he had informed me that he had explained the difference between a work card and a license to do work, to Mr. Reuscher. And he felt that maybe I could help clarify some of those differences for Mr. Reuscher.

I spoke to Mr. Reuscher on the phone. And he was very pleasant to speak to on the phone. He asked for, you know, a little bit of clarification. I clearly identified that a work card can be issued. And that work card can be used for different licensees. But he has to be working under the direction of a licensee, whether it's Unified or another licenseholder, to be able to conduct the investigations under the direction of a licensee, and that a work card was simply just that, a work card.

He had made a statement to me that he believed that the work card was an actual license, because he'd gone through the background check. And at that time, I explained the process for obtaining a license, where a

- 1 very thorough application was done and an extremely
- 2 thorough background that took upwards of three months to
- 3 | conduct, prior to him coming before the Board for an
- 4 actual license.
- And I at that time told him that the cease and
- 6 desist that Investigator Schmelzer had issued was, in
- 7 | fact, inactive at that moment, that I was issuing him a
- 8 verbal cease and desist to stop his actions, and that a
- 9 citation would be forthcoming in the mail.
- 10 At that time, I believed Mr. Reuscher to
- 11 | understand the process. And, you know, we ended the
- 12 telephone conversation at that time.
- 13 BY MS. PLATT:
- Q. And so when someone leaves the employment of a
- 15 licensee that they have a work card under, are they
- 16 required to reapply for a work card under a different
- 17 | licensee?
- 18 A. No, they're not required to do that. They --
- 19 as long as they have a work card, another licensee may
- 20 employ them. And then it's the licensee's
- 21 | responsibility to notify the Board that they now have
- 22 employed this individual with the work card.
- Q. The licensee has to be licensed in this state
- 24 | in order to -- for someone to have a work card
- 25 | underneath them?

That is correct. 1 Α. MS. PLATT: Thank you. 2 MR. MARCHER: Do you have any questions for 3 Mr. Ingram? 4 MR. REUSCHER: No, I don't. 5 MR. MARCHER: Does the Board, members have any 6 7 questions for Mr. Ingram? BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: No. 8 MR. MARCHER: Okay. And so do you have any 9 other witness? 10 MS. PLATT: I do not. 11 MR. MARCHER: Okay. So at this point, she's 12 13 resting. It's your opportunity to either call a witness, or if you would like to offer testimony, now 14 would be the time to do it. 15 MR. REUSCHER: Okay. I have no witnesses. 16 This isn't a complex case. It's very 17 embarrassing for me. I fully accept my deficiencies 18 here in not understanding the process and what was going 19 20 on. 21 The reason, the reason I'm here is because -- I was not being deceitful. I was not trying to poach, 2.2 come in and work without a permit. I had applied for a 23 work card. I had applied for a license. The process 24 was not happening. And I went out of my way to take a 2.5

- day to drive to the Carson City office and talk to the
- 2 people there. I looked at my file at that opportunity.
- 3 I wrote in the file and notified the people in your
- 4 office that I no longer worked for Unified, that I now
- 5 owned my own company, and that I was looking for a
- 6 license in Nevada to do the work.
- 7 I'm not a dishonest person. I may be foolish
- 8 | in this case for not understanding the process. I've
- 9 been through the process twice before. And this was not
- 10 | the same process. At the time that I visited your
- 11 office, at the time that I visited your office, I was
- 12 told, I was given the R number that is on the new work
- 13 | card and was told that this enabled me to work in the
- 14 state of Nevada while the process was in the works.
- A few weeks later, that card arrived at my
- 16 house. I made an assumption. And we all know about
- 17 assumptions. And here's a perfect example. That was
- 18 | the assumption that I made. I did everything in good
- 19 faith, albeit maybe ignorance.
- I've been -- I just wanted to say that my
- 21 | interactions with the employees and this Board have been
- 22 very positive. They are very courteous people, and
- 23 they've been very helpful.
- 24 I accept my responsibility for not having fully
- 25 complied with the rules. And although it was not by

1 lack of trying. There was numerous contact, phone, mail, in person. I just wanted to note that -- and I'm 2 kind of hanging my hat on this, that the date of 3 issuance was not correct, that my California P.I. 4 license was on my card. 5 And I'm hoping that the Board will rescind this 6 7 matter or, at the very least, accept my sincere explanation as to what happened and provide me with some 8 relief, I'm hoping. I've taken two days to be here 9 twice. It's cost me a couple hotel rooms and four tanks 10 of gas. 11 It's -- I think, mostly I'm here because I just 12 1.3 want to be heard. And I'm feeling like I need to defend my honor. And, again, I fully accept the fact that I 14 did not understand the process. And I should have. 15 But, I quess, what I'm saying is that I'm throwing 16 myself on the mercy of the Board. 17 And I thank you. With that, I'll conclude. 18 MR. MARCHER: All right. Thank you. 19 20 Do you have any questions? MS. PLATT: I do not. 21 2.2 MR. MARCHER: Do Board members have any questions? 23 24 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: No. Jim, do you have 2.5 a question?

1	BOARD MEMBER NADEAU: Just one.
2	Mr. Reuscher, is that, then, that handwriting
3	on the form that's at PILB, that's your handwriting?
4	MR. REUSCHER: That is my handwriting.
5	BOARD MEMBER NADEAU: Okay. And was there any
6	forms or anything completed at the time that you changed
7	that, that information; in other words, did you do
8	anything of any anything, any application for
9	anything at that level other than just write on that
10	form?
11	MR. REUSCHER: On that day, no.
12	BOARD MEMBER NADEAU: Okay. Thank you.
13	MR. REUSCHER: I have been on the website and
14	attempted to fill out and complete the application for
15	licensing, and I've decided to put that on hold until
16	this matter is solved.
17	BOARD MEMBER NADEAU: Okay. Nothing further.
18	Thank you.
19	Mr. Chairman, no more questions.
20	BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Thank you.
21	MR. MARCHER: Do you have
22	BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: All right.
23	MR. MARCHER: Do you have anything in closing?
2 4	BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: All right. Have you
25	concluded with everything there?

MS. PLATT: Well, I just will put on a brief 1 2 closing argument, I guess. 3 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Please. MS. PLATT: I think, by Mr. Reuscher's own 4 admission, that he clearly understands that he conducted 5 unlicensed activity. And so the citation should be 6 upheld by the Board. No one here, neither I, nor Mr. Schmelzer, nor 8 Mr. Ingram, nor the Board, have indicated that we 9 believe him to be an untrustworthy individual. 10 just a matter of fact that he conducted unlicensed 11 activity. We've not alleged that he was deceitful in 12 1.3 trying to do that. So I would ask the Board to just really key in 1 4 on the fact that he conducted an unlicensed 15 investigation of a fire at a --16 Home? 17 THE WITNESS: Yes. 18 MS. PLATT: -- at a home, for which he was not 19 20 licensed to do so. As a work card holder, he is charged 2.1 with knowing what the law is. And so, I guess, I would just put that to you 2.2 and ask that you uphold the citation. 23 MR. MARCHER: Anything in closing? 24 MR. REUSCHER: I wish I had something to honor 2.5

```
that with, but I really don't.
 1
            MR. MARCHER: Okay. So we'll flip it to the
 2
   Board now for discussion, deliberation and making a
 3
   decision.
            BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Okay. Are there any
 5
   Board members that have any discussion prior to a vote?
 6
             I'll entertain a motion, then.
 7
             BOARD MEMBER ZANE: Mr. Chairman, I move that
 8
   we uphold the citation of Thomas Reuscher from
 9
   Grass Valley, California, as provided for in agenda item
10
   number eight.
11
             BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: All right. I have a
12
1.3
   motion.
             BOARD MEMBER UITHOVEN: Second.
1 4
             BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: And a second. All in
15
    favor, signify by saying "aye."
16
             (Board members said "aye.")
17
             Opposed?
18
             Hearing none, the citation is upheld. And...
19
             (There was a brief discussion off the record
20
2.1
   between Board Chairman Spencer and Mr. Ingram.)
             BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Then, that's it.
2.2
            BOARD MEMBER NADEAU: Mr. Chairman?
23
24
             BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Mr. Reuscher, thank
   you for -- Mr. Reuscher, thank you for your presentation
2.5
```

```
1
   and your honesty.
            MR. REUSCHER: You're welcome.
 2
            BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: It's refreshing.
 3
            BOARD MEMBER NADEAU: Mr. Chair?
 4
            BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Yes, Jim?
 5
            BOARD MEMBER NADEAU: If I may, I'd just
 6
 7
   like -- Mr. Reuscher, you understand that we do -- once
   the citation is upheld, we have no flexibility. It's
 8
   statutorily set as far as the fine and that type of
 9
    thing. So we have, we have no, no latitude when it
10
   comes to that. So.
11
            MR. REUSCHER: You know what, I knew this was
12
1.3
   going to be the outcome. I just wanted to be heard.
            BOARD MEMBER NADEAU: We appreciate that.
14
   Again, I think, as counsel said, we -- from my
15
   perspective, you've been honest and up-front. So we
16
   appreciate that.
17
            MR. REUSCHER: Okay. Thank you.
18
            MR. INGRAM: Mr. Chairman, if I may.
19
20
            BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Yes.
21
            MR. INGRAM: Mr. Reuscher, in no way does this
2.2
   prohibit your ability to apply for and continue your
   application for licensure. And our staff will do
23
   everything that we can to assist you with that process
24
2.5
   should you have any questions. I just wanted to put
```

- 1 that on the record, that it does not prevent you from
- 2 going forward with application for licensure in the
- 3 state of Nevada.
- 4 | MR. REUSCHER: I appreciate that.
- 5 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: And we encourage you
- 6 to do that.
- 7 MR. REUSCHER: Okay. I would like to wish
- 8 everyone a joyous Christmas.
- 9 BOARD MEMBER NADEAU: Thank you.
- 10 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Thank you. Thank you
- 11 very much.
- 12 All right. I -- surprise, surprise. Is he
- 13 here, or isn't he here?
- Mr. Director, where do we sit on the
- 15 | give-and-take of the Yepko episode, on this, on this
- 16 | charge?
- MR. INGRAM: Right now, I'd like to defer to
- 18 | counsel to see if she has any input on it. Is Mr. Yepko
- 19 present in Carson City?
- MS. PLATT: He is not.
- 21 MR. INGRAM: Okay. He is not present in
- 22 Las Vegas, either.
- MS. PLATT: So there is a statute -- it's
- 24 | 622A.350 -- that authorizes the Board to move forward in
- 25 | the absence of the respondent in this case. If you'd

like, we can take a five-minute break. I don't know. 1 If you give him a few minutes, I don't know if he will 2 show up at the --3 MR. MARCHER: Well, why don't we take like a 4 five- or 10-minute break, so I can talk to Colleen about 5 that procedure, so everyone's on the same page. 6 7 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: All right. Let's take a break. 8 MR. MARCHER: Okay. Thank you. 9 * * * * * 10 (A break was taken 9:58 to 10:08 a.m.) 11 * * * * * 12 13 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Keith, what did you find out? 1 4 MR. MARCHER: We're ready to go. I'm just 15 going to let Colleen --16 MS. PLATT: Is Mr. Yepko there? 17 MR. MARCHER: -- present the case. 18 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: No. 19 20 MS. PLATT: No. 21 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Colleen, help me out I know the seriousness of what we're dealing with 2.2 here. It can be everything from a slap on the hands to 23 here. a loss of license. 24 I'd feel far more comfortable, with those 2.5

options hanging, if we had either, A, preferably, 1 Mr. Yepko in the audience or, B, a statement from him 2 saying he will not be in the audience. And I don't know 3 how everybody else feels about it, other than Jim. 4 MR. MARCHER: Well --5 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: 6 7 MR. MARCHER: Here's the thing. You have a couple of options here. One is you can hear the case 8 today. Because, I think, what part of your attorney's 9 presentation is going to be is with regard to the 10 service of the complaint and notice of hearing giving 11 him notice of this meeting and that he should be in 12 13 attendance. So part of what you're going to hear from her, if you want to move forward, is the fact that he 14 got served in a legally sufficient manner, and he didn't 15 show up. And if you -- so you're free to continue after 16 that, make a determination as to guilt or innocence, and 17 then make a determination of penalty. 18 If you're not comfortable with doing that, 19 20 because he's not there, in theory, you could continue 21 it. But he's already been noticed. He didn't show up. If you continue it, it's very possible the exact same 2.2 thing is going to happen next time. 23 So it's really kind of up to you to hear it or 24 continue it. 2.5

BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Well, I think, 1 Yeah. 2 I think, you're right, the very same thing might happen next time. But I personally would feel a lot better 3 knowing that I had sent a notice saying show up or lose it, or, you know, in essence --5 MR. MARCHER: Well, we can't do that. 6 7 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: -- those are the 8 possibilities. MR. MARCHER: Yeah, I mean that's not really 9 the way it works. All you can do is notify him of the 10 time and the place set for the hearing. If he chooses 11 to be here and defend himself, great. If he doesn't, 12 1.3 there's a mechanism in the law that allows you to go forward. 1 4 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: All right. 15 MR. MARCHER: If you want to continue it and 16 try to reach out to the guy again, you can do that. But 17 that's a little -- that's not usually the way that it 18 works. But it's up to you guys. If you want to, you 19

works. But it's up to you guys. If you want to, you can either hear it today, get the thing behind you. Or if you want to continue it, you can continue it. But, realistically, all that we would do, as far as notice, would be to do the exact same thing we've already done.

20

21

2.2

23

24

2.5

and reset it for a different time. And if he shows up,

We'd send him another complaint and notice of hearing

```
1
    it's up to him.
            MS. PLATT: And I will point out that the
 2
   complaint and notice of hearing does contain language
 3
    that indicates that the Board may move to proceed in his
 4
   absence, should he not show up.
 5
            BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: All right. Well --
 6
 7
            BOARD MEMBER NADEAU: Mr. Chair, could I ask a
   couple questions?
 8
            BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: I was hoping you
 9
   would.
10
            BOARD MEMBER NADEAU: Well, thank you very
11
   much.
12
13
            So our options are to have the hearing today or
   continue it. My question is, if we did conduct the
14
   hearing today, and the case was adjudicated today, and
15
   we made a decision, what's his appeal; can he appeal
16
   back to the Board, or does he automatically have to
17
   appeal to the court, district court?
18
            MR. MARCHER: Here's what he could do. If you
19
20
   make a decision today, he can ask for a couple of
21
   different things from the Board. First, after he gets
2.2
   the order, he could ask for a rehearing. Okay. If
   there's something, some grounds for him to ask for a
23
   rehearing, then he can ask for a rehearing. Or he can
24
2.5
   ask for a reconsideration of the decision with regard to
```

1 the discipline. So he can ask you, "Wait a minute. I didn't 2 get the notice, " say, for example, if that's his -- what 3 he's going to come in and say, "I want to ask you to 4 rehear this case." And he can file a motion. And you 5 can then decide it at this point, if he does do that. 6 7 So he could ask for a rehearing. He could ask for reconsideration of the order, of the discipline, 8 whatever that may be, that you impose. Or he could just 9 run right to the district court and file an appeal. 10 he has remedies with the Board and with the court. 11 BOARD MEMBER NADEAU: And are those remedies 12 13 administered, is it administrative, does he have to go through the administrative before he goes to court, or 14 he could just go directly to court? 15 MR. MARCHER: He could go directly to court if 16 he wants to. He doesn't have to ask for a rehearing or 17 reconsideration. But, typically, people would do that 18 before they go to court because it's a lot cheaper. 19 20 BOARD MEMBER NADEAU: And the charges are 21 relatively significant. If we continue it, then he is, 2.2 he's then able to continue doing business as usual, as

MR. MARCHER: Right, unless there's a summary suspension in place, and I don't think there is in this

he has been, until such time as the actual hearing?

23

24

2.5

So, yeah, if you continue it, he's going to be 1 case licensed, and he could continue to practice. 2 BOARD MEMBER NADEAU: Thank you. 3 Thank you, Mr. Chair. 4 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: All right. Well, how 5 does the Board -- let's throw it open for the Board 6 7 members. And what are your feelings as far as what we want to do? BOARD MEMBER ZANE: Well, personally, if we 9 have evidence that proper service was received, I'd 10 prefer to proceed. 11 BOARD MEMBER UITHOVEN: I agree. 12 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: All right. Jim, you 13 14 agree, right? BOARD MEMBER NADEAU: Yeah, I'm with the Board, 15 whatever direction you want to go. I guess, my biggest 16 concern --17 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: All right. 18 BOARD MEMBER NADEAU: -- is that we have, you 19 20 know, we have charges here that -- that are significant. 21 And this, a continuation just allows him to continue 2.2 doing business as usual, without any, you know, any interruption of that and, you know. 23 24 Okay. I'm going to say this just for the 2.5 record. But we are, you know, we are consumer

protectors. And that's what we're here for, not for 1 his -- not for his pleasure and his leisure. 2 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Well, my concern is 3 that we so seldom have anything like this, you know, 4 with a licensee, that I just want to make sure we have 5 provided and granted whatever due process we -- we owe 6 him. But hearing the desires of the Board members, 8 then, I think, we should proceed as scheduled. 9 10 MR. MARCHER: Okay. Go ahead, then. MS. PLATT: Okay. So I am just going to walk 11 through that the service was proper to Mr. Yepko. So if 12 13 you have before you the complaint and the notice of hearing, you'll see on the last page that there is a 14 certificate of service, which indicates that Silvia Gles 15 mailed the -- this particular complaint and notice of 16 hearing to Michael Yepko at P.O. Box 1970, Las Vegas, 17 Nevada, 89125-1970, which is the address we -- the Board 18 has on file for Mr. Yepko. 19 20 Based on prior communications with Mr. Yepko, I 21 was also informed that the e-mail address of 2.2 VegasLegal64@hotmail.com was also a valid e-mail address, that he preferred to have things, 23 communications from the Board e-mailed to him. So in an 24 abundance of caution, we mailed it, and we also e-mailed 2.5

it. 1 And I do have a copy of the e-mail that was 2 sent; you guys don't have a copy of it, but I can attach 3 it for the record as well, which indicates it's from 4 Silvia M. Gles on Tuesday, November 5th, at 4:14 p.m., 5 to VegasLegal64@hotmail.com. It says "Good afternoon. 6 7 Please review attached copy of complaint and notice of hearing scheduled for December 5th, 2013, at 9:00 a.m. 8 If you should have any questions, please contact Deputy 9 Attorney General Colleen Platt at 775-684-1222. 10 you." 11 And so we did not receive any sort of e-mail 12 13 back from Mr. Yepko. We've had no correspondences with 14 Mr. Yepko at this time. And so I would just ask, I would show that 15 service was proper. He has received -- we have not 16 received a copy of the complaint and notice of hearing 17 which was mailed back. So that tells me that it was 18 received at the P.O. box. And so I believe service was 19 20 proper. 21 MR. MARCHER: Was it sent certified or regular? 2.2 MS. PLATT: It was sent regular, because certified does not go to -- the mail, the post office 23 will not deliver a certified letter to a P.O. box. 24

MR. MARCHER: Okay. So you took pains to serve

25

1 it every way you could? 2 MS. PLATT: Every way that we could, that was known to us, yes. 3 MR. MARCHER: Okav. 4 All right. So there is a statute 5 MS. PLATT: in 622A, which is -- that the Board is subject to, which 6 authorizes the Board to move forward in the absence of a 7 respondent in a case, 622A.350, for the Board's -- I'm 8 just going to read it to the Board so that you guys can 9 understand the process: So if, if a party fails to 10 appear at a scheduled hearing, and a continuance has not 11 been scheduled or granted, any party who is present at 12 1.3 the hearing may make an offer of proof the absent party was given sufficient legal notice. Upon a determination 14 by the regulatory body or hearing panel or officer that 15 the absent party was given sufficient legal notice, the 16 regulatory body or hearing panel or officer may proceed 17 to consider and dispose of the case without the 18 participation of the absent party. 19 20 So, at this time, I'm going to ask the Board 2.1 that you find that sufficient legal notice was given and 2.2 then, and ask you to proceed to hear the case without the participation of the absent party. 23 MR. MARCHER: So --24 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Does that have to be, 2.5

```
does that have to be done by a vote or just by
 1
   designation for the -- before the Board members?
 2
             MR. MARCHER: The easiest way probably and the
 3
   cleanest way is, probably, somebody makes a motion that
 4
   the service in this case was legal. And then we'd move
 5
   to the next step, that he got sufficient legal service.
 6
             BOARD MEMBER NADEAU: Mr. Chair?
 7
             BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Yes?
 8
             BOARD MEMBER NADEAU: Just a real quick
 9
   question. On the e-mails that you send, do you have a
10
   delivered or received flag on any of those?
11
             MR. INGRAM: She did not put that on there.
12
1.3
   Yeah.
1 4
             BOARD MEMBER NADEAU: Okay.
             BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Someone want to make a
15
   motion, then?
16
             BOARD MEMBER ZANE: Mr. Chairman, I'd move that
17
   we find that the service was legal.
18
             BOARD MEMBER UITHOVEN: Second.
19
             BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Motion and second.
20
2.1
   All in favor, signify by saying "aye."
2.2
             (Board members said "aye.")
             Opposed?
23
24
             Proceed.
2.5
             MS. PLATT: Okay. So the second part of -- so
```

the second part of 622A.350 states that if a licensee 1 fails to appear at a hearing, the regulatory body or 2 hearing panel or officer may accept the allegations 3 against the licensee in the charging document as true. 4 So what I would submit to you is that the 5 factual allegations set forth in the complaint and 6 notice of hearing, which you have just found was legally 7 served, properly served upon Mr. Yepko, are, in fact, 8 true; and the allegations of -- have been proven; and 9 the violations of law have been proven as well. 10 MR. MARCHER: Essentially, you would just --11 MS. PLATT: You would -- yes, go ahead. 12 13 MR. MARCHER: You would just make a motion to find him guilty based on the factual allegations in the 14 complaint. 15 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: All right. 16 Anybody want to make a motion? 17 BOARD MEMBER NADEAU: May I ask a question, 18 Mr. Chairman? 19 20 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Yes. 21 BOARD MEMBER NADEAU: I apologize for maybe 2.2 delaying. But do we have witnesses or anything of that nature that would be able to testify, as far as 23 witnesses to the facts or anything of that nature? 24 2.5 MS. PLATT: I -- go ahead.

There may be witnesses. And they 1 MR. MARCHER: may be willing to testify. But the mechanisms for this 2 statute and the reason that it exists is so they don't 3 have to testify. BOARD MEMBER NADEAU: Okay. So, in other 5 words, you don't have -- and I have the statute in front 6 7 of me. But, basically, what we would do is you're not going to put on your case, you're just --MS. PLATT: Correct. 9 BOARD MEMBER NADEAU: Because he failed to 10 appear, we're just accepting the facts as outlined. 11 MR. MARCHER: Yeah. It's a -- this is a 12 1.3 default mechanism. BOARD MEMBER NADEAU: Okay. 1 4 MR. MARCHER: When he's served properly, and he 15 doesn't show up, he's defaulted. He's given up. So the 16 mechanism, then, is you find him guilty based the 17 allegations in the complaint, because you can consider 18 them as true, based on the statute, because he didn't 19 20 show up. 21 BOARD MEMBER NADEAU: Okay. 2.2 MR. MARCHER: So you could hear from witnesses, but that's -- that's just kind of cumulative at this 23 24 point. BOARD MEMBER NADEAU: And if he chooses to have 2.5

```
a rehearing, then you could bring --
 1
 2
            MS. PLATT: Yes.
 3
             BOARD MEMBER NADEAU: -- and we chose to accept
    that, then you could bring witnesses and such at that
 4
   time?
 5
             MR. MARCHER: Correct.
 6
 7
             BOARD MEMBER NADEAU: Thank you.
             Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
 8
             MS. PLATT: Thank you.
 9
             BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: You bet.
10
             MS. PLATT: I will also point out that at
11
    648.170 of your chapter, you do have -- it's subsection
12
1.3
    (4) of 648.170, which says "Failure to answer or to
   appear at the hearing constitutes an admission by the
1 4
   respondent of all facts alleged in the complaint.
15
   Board may take action based on such an admission and
16
   offer other evidence without further notice to the
17
   respondent."
18
             BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: All right. I'll
19
20
   entertain a motion.
21
             BOARD MEMBER ZANE: Mr. Chairman, I'd move that
   we find the factual allegations, as contained in the
2.2
    complaint in case number I-09-12, as being proved.
23
             BOARD MEMBER NADEAU: Second.
24
             BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Motion and a second.
2.5
```

```
All in favor, signify by saying "aye."
 1
 2
             (Board members said "aye.")
             Opposed?
 3
             Hearing none, the motion carries.
 4
            MR. INGRAM: Mr. Chairman?
 5
             BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Yes?
 6
 7
            MR. INGRAM: May I ask a question, please?
             BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: M-hm (affirmative).
 8
            MR. INGRAM: Counsel, we have the five
 9
    subpoenaed witnesses in, in the room today. Could we go
10
   ahead and release them back to their work, then?
11
            MR. MARCHER: Yeah, they don't need to testify
12
13
    today. You already found him quilty. They could hang
   around to see what decision you make, or they could
1 4
   leave if they'd like to.
15
             MR. INGRAM: So if you guys would like to stay
16
   for the decision, you're welcome to. Otherwise, you can
17
   go ahead and leave. Thank you. Thank you for your
18
   time.
19
20
             They're choosing to stay.
21
             BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Okay. We've made the
    decision, haven't we?
2.2
             MR. INGRAM: M-hm (affirmative). So at this
23
   point, Chairman and Board members, you have the ability
24
2.5
   to move forward with whatever sanction that you decide,
```

as was kind of briefly overviewed by Chairman Spencer. 1 You can issue a fine up to the amount of 2 \$5,000, as established in regulation. You may place the 3 individual on probation. You may also suspend the 4 licensee's license for a period of time. Or any 5 combination thereof. And, ultimately, you also have the 6 ability to revoke the license. Am I correct, counsel? 8 MS. PLATT: That's correct. And I would be 9 happy to make a recommendation to the Board. 10 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Well, please do. 11 MS. PLATT: Mr. Yepko is a frequent flyer with 12 1.3 this Board. And I would just say that he does not have the best reputation, from my understanding. He's been 1 4 fined before. And I don't think his habits have 15 changed. So I would recommend the revocation at this 16 time. 17 Staff may have a different opinion. 18 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: You know, I would feel 19 better if he were here. But. 20 21 Does the Board have any comments? BOARD MEMBER UITHOVEN: I'd feel better if he 2.2 were here, too; but he chose not to be. 23 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Yeah. Well, we assume 24 2.5 he chose.

BOARD MEMBER UITHOVEN: We assume that he chose 1 not to be. We haven't heard otherwise. He didn't 2 contact the staff to give a reason why he may not be 3 able to be here today. 4 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: 5 Right. BOARD MEMBER UITHOVEN: I quess, he could be 6 7 broken down on the freeway somewhere, but. Given his reputation in the years I've been on the Board and what 8 I know of this licensee, you know, I tend to agree with 9 the recommendation of counsel. 10 We have a number of people who come before us 11 seeking this license, you know. And I sit here and I 12 1.3 think, reading this complaint, if he were not a current licensee, is this somebody we would feel comfortable 1 4 giving a license to today? And does he uphold the 15 standards we expect? 16 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Yes. 17 BOARD MEMBER UITHOVEN: I can answer that 18 question for myself. 19 20 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: That's a good point. 2.1 So. BOARD MEMBER UITHOVEN: I'm done. 2.2 BOARD MEMBER ZANE: The -- my point, I would 23 have really appreciated him being here, because I would 24 have liked to have had an adversarial setting to weigh 2.5

the evidence involved in the action. Because reading it 1 on a piece of paper doesn't necessarily give you the 2 full flavor of what happened at that particular site, at 3 that particular location. And everybody that knows, 4 knows that there's two sides to every story. But in 5 order for those sides to be weighed and evaluated, 6 7 everybody's got to show up and be heard. So based upon the fact that we have a finding, 8 based upon the fact that Mr. Yepko has got a previous 9 10 disciplinary history with the Board, and based upon the fact that he chose not to be here when he's generally 11 fairly informed about the activities of the Board on a 12 1.3 regulation basis, I'm -- I'm pretty much -- I'll go along with counsel's recommendation. 1 4 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: All right. 15 MR. MARCHER: Well, somebody needs to make a 16 motion with regard to the discipline. 17 BOARD MEMBER NADEAU: Mr. Chairman, if I may? 18 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Yes. 19 20 BOARD MEMBER NADEAU: The witnesses were able 21 to make it. And Mr. Yepko knows. He's got previous experience with this Board. It would seem to me that he 2.2 would have found it in his best interest to be here. 23 So if you're waiting for a motion, I'll make 24

the motion.

2.5

BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: 1 Fine. BOARD MEMBER NADEAU: I move that Mr. -- that 2 the license, both the private investigator license and 3 the process server license, for Mr. Michael Yepko be 4 revoked immediately. 5 BOARD MEMBER UITHOVEN: Second. 6 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: I have a motion and 7 All in favor, signify by saying "aye." 8 second. MR. MARCHER: Hold on one second. 9 (Board members said "aye.") 10 Hold on one second. You need to set a time 11 frame for the revocation period. In the statutes, the 12 1.3 time frame for revocation is a minimum of a year and a maximum of 10. So we need, we need a time frame in that 14 motion to revoke him, so staff knows, when they do the 15 order, how much time. You have to put the time frame in 16 there. 17 BOARD MEMBER NADEAU: Yeah. 18 MR. MARCHER: Sorry. I should have thrown that 19 20 out right before. But just restate the motion with the 2.1 time frame in for revocation, between one and 10 years. 2.2 BOARD MEMBER NADEAU: Actually, I will withdraw my motion, because I'd like to go ahead and discuss the 23 time period with the Board. Unless, unless I can go 24 2.5 ahead and revoke it, and we can discuss this, the time

period, after, after action on the revocation. 1 2 MR. MARCHER: Well, I would just make a motion with the time period in there, get a second, and then 3 you can discuss it. BOARD MEMBER NADEAU: Okay. 5 MR. MARCHER: And if somebody wants to change 6 it at that point, okay. If not, you can vote on it, and 7 you're done. BOARD MEMBER NADEAU: I guess, then, my --9 revoke it immediately for the period of time of two 10 11 years. BOARD MEMBER UITHOVEN: Second. 12 13 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Motion and second. 14 All in favor, signify by saying "aye." (Board members said "aye.") 15 MR. INGRAM: Discussion. 16 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Or do we have 17 decision? 18 No discussion on the motion. Let's try this 19 20 again. All in favor, signify by saying "aye." (Board members said "aye.") 2.1 2.2 Opposed? Hearing none, that's it. 23 24 Thank you, folks, for coming. BOARD MEMBER NADEAU: Mr. Chair? Mr. Chair? 2.5

BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: 1 Yes? 2 BOARD MEMBER NADEAU: In the past, when we've had investigations in these types of things, 3 historically on this Board we've assessed recovery of 4 costs for the investigation and that. Would it be 5 appropriate to do that now? 6 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: I don't know. I can't 7 answer that. Counsel? 8 MR. MARCHER: Yeah, you can. Yes, I mean, as 9 part of the mechanism through the hearing process, you 10 have the authority to issue hearing costs or, you know, 11 an order for hearing costs as part of the findings of 12 1.3 fact. So if you want to make a motion to recoup your costs, that's fine. 1 4 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: All right. 15 MR. INGRAM: Mr. Chairman, if I can address 16 that? 17 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Yes. 18 MR. INGRAM: This has been ongoing. It was 19 20 actually in place when I came on board in September of 21 2012. I've spent a lot of time interacting with the previous Deputy Attorney General, Harry Ward, and most 2.2 recently with our new Deputy Attorney General, Colleen 23 24 Platt. We are charged a fee, we, the Board, who are 2.5

- self-funded, are charged a fee for the time that the 1 Attorney General's Office provides us consultation. 2 only are we going to be charged for Ms. Platt, we're 3 also going to be charged for Mr. Marcher's time in this 4 hearing today. 5 MR. MARCHER: That's true. 6 7 MR. INGRAM: Those fees are pretty large. at the time that I spoke with Mr. Yepko initially, 8 verbally telling him that Ms. Platt would be 9 representing us, I at that time notified him that if he 10 chooses to move forward with the appeal, and if it was 11 found in the Board's favor, that he may be responsible 12 1.3 for any expenses incurred for the preparation of his 1 4 case. So my recommendation to the Board would be to 15 16
 - seek additional expenses from Mr. Yepko for the amount of time that we've spent on this case.

BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Thank vou.

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

2.5

How does the -- what's the Board feel that -that we wait until next time to assess that, once we know what counsel and what counsels have submitted for time and we have a figure?

MR. MARCHER: Well, you don't have to have a specific figure today. You could just make a motion to assess him all the costs associated with the hearing.

Period. And once that's done, then staff will do an 1 accounting of the costs. That piece will go in the 2 order. And then you would send him an accounting of the 3 costs after it's all tabulated. So you don't have to wait for certain specific 5 amount today, if you don't want to. You could just make 6 that a motion to assess him all the costs associated with the hearing, and then staff would run with it after that. 9 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: All right. I'll 10 entertain that motion. 11 BOARD MEMBER NADEAU: So moved. 12 1.3 BOARD MEMBER ZANE: Second. BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: All in favor, "aye." 1 4 (Board members said "aye.") 15 Opposed? 16 Hearing none, it carries. 17 All right. Board comment on future agenda 18 items for possible action. 19 20 Do you have anything? MR. INGRAM: I do not. 2.1 2.2 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Anyone have anything? All right. Public comment. Is there any 23 public comment that would be made at this time, either 24 here or in Carson City? 2.5

1	BOARD MEMBER NADEAU: Just a quick comment to
2	welcome Jim. I was late yesterday, so I couldn't.
3	Welcome aboard. Another Jim on the Board.
4	BOARD MEMBER COLBERT: Thank you.
5	BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: All right. I'll
6	entertain the motion.
7	BOARD MEMBER ZANE: Mr. Chairman, I would move
8	we adjourn.
9	BOARD MEMBER UITHOVEN: Second.
10	BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: All in favor?
11	(Board members said "aye.")
12	Opposed?
13	None. We're adjourned.
14	BOARD MEMBER ZANE: Merry Christmas.
15	BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Merry Christmas to
16	all.
17	* * * *
18	(The meeting adjourned at 10:34 a.m.)
19	-000-
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE
2	
3	I, SHANNON L. TAYLOR, a Nevada Certified Court Reporter, Nevada CCR #322, do hereby certify:
4	That I was present at the Office of the Attorney
5	General, 100 North Carson Street, Mock Court Room, Carson City, Nevada, on Thursday, December 5, 2013, at
6	9:00 a.m., and commencing at 9:05 a.m. took stenotype notes of a meeting of the State of Nevada, Private
7	Investigators Licensing Board;
8	That I thereafter transcribed the aforementioned stenotype notes into typewriting as herein appears, and
9	that the within transcript, consisting of pages 1 through 74, is a full, true, and correct transcription
- 0	of said stenotype notes of said meeting;
. 1	I further certify that I am not an attorney or
. 2	counsel for any of the parties, not a relative or employee of any attorney or counsel connected with the actions, nor financially interested in the actions.
_3	<u>-</u>
4	DATED: At Carson City, Nevada, this 12th day of December, 2013.
. 5	
- 6	SHANNON L. TAYLOR
_7	Nevada CCR #322, RMR
8 .	
_ 9	
2 0	
21	
22	
23	
2 4	
25	